

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
LC	Foreword	N/A	Ed	The Forward has an “Annex L – Example of a sample test report template”, which is not present in the document.	Consider updating the list of Annexes and verifying the alignments to sections.	Accepted. Change to Annex K.
SKN	3.1 & 3.18		Addition	Key terms like "adulteration" and "non-cocoa related matter" lack examples or thresholds, leading to subjective interpretation. Reduce ambiguity in enforcement.	Add examples (e.g., adulteration may include mixing with non-cocoa fillers like maize starch) and quantitative thresholds (e.g., foreign matter >0.75% = non-compliant).	Partially accepted. 3.1 The definition for adulteration has been changed for clarity. See comment GD 3.1. The terms 3.7 and 3.18 were changed to 'cocoa bean related matter' and 'non-cocoa bean related matter' as it provides more clarity to the terms. The definition for 'non-cocoa related bean' was updated to include 3.21 non-cocoa bean related matter foreign material present in the lot of cocoa beans, including stones, metal, glass and plastic pieces, vegetative and animal matter and any other such matter where removal is manual or by use of magnets

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
GD	3.1	1	Te	While the definition attempts to align with the fine flavour cocoa criteria, the phrase does not align with food safety management principles outlined in standards such as ISO 22000. These standards recognise that such processes, when properly controlled, are legitimate, value-added steps in food production and not inherently forms of adulteration.	Adulteration: the intentional alteration of the composition of a lot of cocoa by physical, chemical or microbial means in a way that deceives the customer, conceals inferior quality, or violates established food safety standards, thereby constituting a form of fraud.	Partially accepted. DCRS 83 definition is prescriptive by stating what comprises an adulteration in a cocoa bean lot whereas ISO 22000 definition is systematic and explains how any organization should avoid adulteration in a general way. Therefore, in the cocoa industry, adulteration includes both intentional and non-intentional alterations. Definition changed: alteration of the composition of a lot of cocoa by any physical, chemical or microbial means so that the resulting mixture does not conform to the contractual description.
LC	3.3		Ed	The words “Bean” and “count’ separated	Join the two words	Accepted.
TT	3.3		ed	Large space between the term “bean” and “count”		Accepted.
LC	3.7		Te	In my opinion “cocoa related matter” makes more sense in my understanding of the document if it is changed to “cocoa bean related matter”	Change “cocoa related matter” to “cocoa bean related matter”. Will need to be applied to the whole document	Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
GD	3.8	1	Te	The original definition of "contamination" lacks alignment with internationally recognised food safety standards (such as FSSC 22000). It is narrow, focusing only on odour and not accounting for other types of contamination (e.g., physical, chemical, or biological).	Contamination: The presence of any substance <i>or characteristic</i> not intrinsic <i>to well-fermented and properly dried cocoa beans, including but not limited to foreign materials, off-odours</i> (e.g., smoky or hammy smells), <i>or other sensory deviations</i> . This is detected through physical inspection or a cut test of a reference sample.	Partially accepted. Change to : presence of any substance or characteristic not intrinsic to well-fermented and properly dried cocoa beans, including but not limited to foreign materials, presence of non typical odours , or other sensory deviations. Note 1: Non typical odours may include smoky or hammy smells. Note 2: Contamination detected through physical inspection or a cut test of a reference sample.
LC	3.8		Te	In my opinion “presence of a substance not natural...” makes more sense if “substance” reads “odour” because this is an amora test? Even though this “Term” could be called “odour contamination” as opposed to just “contamination”.	Change to “presence of an odour not natural...”	Partially accepted. Definition changed in comment GD 3.8.
LC	3.10		Te	Defective beans also include poor fermented beans which would show as slaty, violet or purple beans.	Change paragraph to read: “any combination of internally mouldy, insect-damaged, poor fermentation shown as slaty, violet or purple beans; germinating”	Not accepted. Violet and purple beans do not count towards defective beans limits but rather they are considered as a fermentation quality indicator.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
SKN	3.13		ge	Imported cocoa beans may contain undetected contaminants (e.g., metal fragments, stones). Protocols are needed for inspection at entry points. Enhance food safety and compliance for imported beans.	Add a note: Importers shall implement inspection protocols (e.g., sieving, metal detection) at ports of entry to verify compliance with foreign matter limits.	Partially accepted. Included to the Scope for clarity. This standard applies to cocoa beans originating within or imported into the CARICOM region.
LC	3.13		Te	Foreign matter also includes stones and glass	Add stones and glass to list in Note 2	Partially accepted. foreign matter any material other than whole intact cocoa beans Note 1 to entry: Foreign matter includes cocoa bean related matter and non-cocoa bean related matter. Glass and stones listed as non-cocoa bean related matter.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
LC	3.16		Te	Is this: insect-damaged bean and infested bean or insect-damaged bean or infested bean	Change: insect-damaged bean infested bean to read insect-damaged bean	Partially accepted. The both terms are necessary as an insect can damage a bean without infestation; however once beans are infested, damage has already occurred. A separate definition was added for infested bean. infested bean a large number of insects affecting the external and internal parts of the cocoa bean
LC	3.18			Reasoning as per 3.7 comments	Change: “non-cocoa related matter” to read “non-cocoa bean related matter”	Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
	3.18		ed	The terms non-cocoa related matter (3.18) and foreign matter (3.13) seems similar.		<p>Accepted. The term was changed to 'non-cocoa bean related matter'.</p> <p>For clarification between 3.14 and 3.21, the definition was changed and a note was added..</p> <p>foreign matter any material other than whole intact cocoa beans</p> <p>Note 1 to entry: Foreign matter includes cocoa bean related matter and non-cocoa bean related matter.</p>

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
TT	3.25 and Annex A		ge	<p>In 3.25, the note states that “the test sample shall be obtained after sieving and removing cocoa related matter...” etc., but in Annex A “test sample” appears to be used to simply mean quarter of the reference sample, e.g. in A.2.1. There is also mention of the “test samples” being used for procedures outlined in Annex B to F (which refers to determination of sievings, cocoa related matter/flat beans/foreign beans) (A.1).</p> <p>From 3.25, a test sample is the sample that is created after carrying out procedures outlined in Annex B and C.</p> <p>“Test sample” also appears to refer to a quarter of the reference in Figure A.1.</p>		<p>A differentiation was made between the sample used in the preliminary assessment (test sample) and the sample used for the preparation and analysis (test portion).</p> <p>The term 'test portion' was introduced to the standard and defined. test portion subset of the test sample for preparation and analysis.</p> <p>The note under test sample definition was deleted.</p> <p>Based on the new definition, test portions would be used for the analysis in Annex B to G.</p>

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
LC	7.1.1, 7.1.2 7.1.3 7.1.4		Te	The word “Lots” need an entry in Section 3 Terms and definitions, or add “Note 1 to entry: The Lots is referring to cocoa beans lots collected in CDS – 82 However if you are allowed 3% mouldy beans may this Lots not have an odour? So should this not say virtually free form odour?	Add Note to entry. This should apply throughout the document. Add virtually free from odour.	Partially accepted. Include definition from DCRS 82 for the term lot. No change was made. 3% of mouldy beans does not affected the odour. e.g. 3% of 300 beans is 9 beans total.
LC	7.1.2		Te	Consider alternative definition for adulteration which does not include the term itself in the definition.	ISO’s definition for the term: adulteration alteration of the composition of a lot of cocoa by any means whatsoever	Not accepted. 7.1.2 is the requirement for adulteration, therefore the use of the term is acceptable when stating the requirement. The words ' from any evidence of physical, chemical and microbial' was deleted from the requirement as the term is already defined in 3.1.
LC	7.1.3	3	Ed	The requirement states at inception “shall be virtually free from”, this indicates to be “more or less or almost” free of. Then further proceeds to state “free from mites, rodents, or other types of infestation” – does this (as read) mean to be “completely” free of? If this is the case, a slight editorial can allow better clarity.	7.1.3 Living insects and other infestation Lots of cocoa beans shall be virtually free from living insects, insect eggs, larvae, and any other developmental stages; and be free from mites, rodents, or other types of infestation.	Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
LC	7.1.4		Te	Violet or Purple beans is an indication of poor fermentation, so shouldn't this read Poor fermentation beans as indicated by slaty, violet or purple beans	Change: "Violet or purple beans" to " Poor fermentation as indicated by the presence of slaty, violet or purple beans"	Not accepted. The terms violet or purple beans are widely used standard terms in the cocoa industry for post-harvest training and cut test evaluation. The term is also defined in 3.27 as having some degree of under fermentation.
SKN	7.2		ge	The cumulative limit for defects (5.75%) is too broad. Subdividing limits by defect type (e.g., mould vs. insect damage) would improve specificity. Align with ISO 2451:2017 and enhance precision.	Replace "cumulative requirement" with individual defect-specific limits (e.g., "mould ≤1%, insect damage ≤2%").	Not accepted. The cumulative requirement refers to the cocoa bean related matter (3.5%), flat beans (1.5%) and foreign matter (0.75%) percentage total, listed in 7.2 of Table 1. It does not include mould % or insect damage % as those items refer to the cut test categories.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
GD	7.2 Table 1	4	Te	The definition of foreign matter excludes ‘double beans. While it is a quality concern, it remains unaddressed, and acceptable limits are not defined in the standard. Is it the intention that the purchaser define the permissible limits (e.g. 2-5%)?	<i>Note to table 1 or amendment to 7.3.2:</i> Double beans are not considered foreign matter and are not treated as a critical grading defect. However, as a physical quality parameter, acceptable limits should be defined contractually between the buyer and the seller. In the absence of a specific agreement, a typical industry guideline is a maximum of 5% double beans.	Partially accepted. The term double bean is defined in 3.2 as a bean cluster. Bean clusters can be two or more beans. The definition for foreign matter, 3.13, includes the term bean cluster in the Note 1 to entry. Bean clusters (double beans) are not considered a critical grading defect but rather a physical irregularity. For consistency, change the term 'double bean' to 'bean cluster' in 3.10, as bean cluster is the preferred term in the standard. Definition was foreign matter was also changed.
TT	7.2 Table 1			Defect & Moisture Thresholds- Adopt as core national grade metrics; align with farm-gate premiums.	Publish national quality-based price differentials; include public dashboard.	Not applicable. Outside the Scope of this regional standard.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
TT	7.2 Table 1 (Other quality)			Uniformity / Fermentation / Fitness – Expand informative note to include flavour descriptors for fine flavour cocoa.	Add national annex w/ CRC flavour wheel & sensory scoring guidance.	Noted. This comment would be addressed in another draft standard. The committee is in the process of developing a standard for fine flavour cocoa which would include the descriptors.
LC	7.2 Table 1		Te	d) fit for production of foodstuff, surely if the level of mould etc is within the limits as defined in this standard the beans are fit for the production of foodstuff?	Remove d)	Not accepted. The standard shall ensure that the requirement states that cocoa beans, as a food ingredient, are fit for production of foodstuff.
LC	7.3 Grade determination	1	Ed	Based on the classification and correlation with Annex H, there is an error in the Table numbering which states: “7.3.1.1 Lots of cocoa beans shall be classified according to the categories listed in Table1 or according to the international classification in Annex H.” This Table should be “Table 2”	7.3.1.1 Lots of cocoa beans shall be classified according to the categories listed in Table 2 or according to the international classification in Annex H.	Accepted.
TT	7.3 Table 2			Producing-Country Grades 1 & 2 – Adoption in T&T; seasonal climate shocks may necessitate temporary tolerances.	Include guidance on conditional derogations linked to rainfall/humidity indices.	Not applicable. Outside the Scope of this regional standard.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
LC	Table 2		Te	The “Percentage of beans”, columns should have the percentage symbol, %, added after the figures. Slaty is an indication of poor fermentation which includes Violet or Purple or should this be Violet and Purple beans	Add the % symbols. Replace Slaty with Poor fermentation. Add NOTE 4. Poor fermentation is indicated by the present of slaty, violet and purple beans,	Not accepted. Refer to comment in 7.1.4. The symbol % is not needed as per ISO directives, Part 2, Principles and rules for the structure and drafting of ISO and IEC documents. (2021)
SKN	7.3		ge	Importers need assurance that regional grades (e.g., Grade 1/2) align with international standards (e.g., ISO 2451:2017) to avoid trade disputes. Harmonise regional and international grading systems.	Add a cross-reference to ISO 2451:2017 in Table 2: Grades align with ISO 2451:2017 classifications.	Not accepted. The table used in this standard has the same requirements as ISO 2451:2017 with two additional changes. 1. The term dried beans was added to the table heading for clarity. It does not change the requirement. 2. Note 3 was added to provide clarity. It does not change the requirement. NOTE 3 The classification is carried out after the removal of defective beans other than the ones mentioned in Table 2.
SKN	7.3		ge	The grading table (Table 2) does not align fully with ISO 2451:2017, risking trade disputes. Harmonise with international standards.	Revise Table 2 to mirror ISO 2451:2017’s defect thresholds and grading categories.	Not accepted. The table requirements are the same as ISO 2451.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
LC	7.3.1.3	7	Ed	1. Applicable to Table 1 or Table H.1: the wording could better clarify exemption of germinated beans from Table 1 and H.1	7.3.1.3 d) germinated beans, which are not applicable for classification in Table 2 or Table H.1.	Partially accepted. Table number changed to Table 2.
LC	7.4 and 8	1	Ed	For Clauses 7.4 and 8, consider placing the Tables number and label for consistency.	Consider something of the sort: 7.4 Bean Size Table 3: Bean Size by the Bean Count And 8 Packaging and marking Table 4: Aspect and Requirements for packaging and marking	Partially accepted. Table headings were included to both 7.4 and 8. Table 3 heading - Bean size classification by bean count Table 4 heading- Requirements for packaging and marking for reference sample, test sample and test portions.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

BB	8		te	Is there a rationale for the jute bags for sampling as referenced in the sampling requirements (DCRS82:202X) being treated with oil whilst the bags for storage as referenced in clause “Packaging and marking – Aspects “are not so treated.	Harmonise the two requirements re: storage.	<p>Partially accepted.</p> <p>The definition for containers from DCRS 82 includes jute bags. DCRS 82, Clause 4.1, addresses the requirements for containers including jute bags, therefore the use of the term containers in Clause 8, Table 4 would capture the requirements stated in DCRS 82, 4.1.</p> <p>The definition for container was added to this standard.</p> <p>container any type of containment used to protect cocoa beans from the environment, infestation, contamination and in a ready state for sampling.</p> <p>EXAMPLE: Jute bag, vegetable oil treated (VOT) jute bag, plastic, nylon or cloth type bag and hard lidded-container are some examples of a container.</p> <p>Change heading for Table 4 to: 'Requirements for packaging and marking for reference sample, test sample and test portions.'</p>
TT	8			Packaging & Marking – Critical for traceability and contamination control in humid transit environments.	Embed checklist in Bureau/Customs export permit; mandate food-grade inks & seal ID recording.	<p>Partially accepted.</p> <p>Traceability was added to table note b in Table 4.</p>

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
						<p>b National regulations and regulations of importing country apply to identification markings, including any traceability marks for the bags or seals or both.</p> <p>Contamination is addressed throughout the standard, eg. Clause 7 and Clause 8 b).</p> <p>Checklist for Bureau or Customs is outside the scope of this standard.</p>
SKN	8		ge	<p>No guidance on sustainable packaging (e.g., biodegradable materials) despite CARICOM's environmental goals. St. Kitts and Nevis also has adopted ASTM standards that speaks to biodegradable materials thereby aligning with CARICOM.</p> <p>Align with regional sustainability initiatives.</p>	Add: Packaging materials should prioritise recyclable, biodegradable or compostable options where feasible.	<p>Not applicable</p> <p>Not under the Scope of the standard. This standard addresses specification for cocoa beans.</p> <p>There are plans to develop a standard for sustainable cocoa in the future that may include sustainable packaging.</p>

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
SKN	8		ge	The standard does not mandate traceability mechanisms (e.g., batch numbers, origin tracking). Facilitate recalls and quality assurance.	Add: Each lot of cocoa beans must include a traceability code linking it to the farm/cooperative of origin.	Partially accepted. Change Clause 8, Table 4 note b: National regulations and regulations of importing country apply to identification markings, including any traceability marks for the bags or seals or both.
SKN	9		ge	Importers rely on test reports from exporting countries. The standard should recognise accredited third-party laboratories to avoid redundant testing. Reduce costs and delays for importers.	Add: Test reports from ISO/IEC 17025-accredited laboratories in the exporting country shall be accepted unless discrepancies are identified during inspections.	Not accepted. The Scope of this standard does not cover the conformity assessment aspect of compliant. Accepting test reports from accredited labs is an acceptable practice followed.
TT	Annex A		ge	Test Sequence & Equipment – Labs require standard equipment (moisture meters, sieves, balances, cutting apparatus) and SOPs	Fund equipment procurement & inter-lab proficiency testing programme.	Not accepted. Funding is not a requirement of the standard and it is not applicable to the Scope of this standard.
LC	Annex A, A.2.1 and Figure A.1		Te	Reference sample is referred in this paragraph, this is not defined in this document, but defined in CRS 82	Add the definition of “reference sample” to this document.	Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
LC	Figure A.1		Te	Box titled “Method for determination of moisture Follow Annex E, incorrect cross-reference.	Change Annex E to G. Incorrect cross-referencing occurs thought out document. Apply the automatic Word cross-referencing function to the whole document.	Accepted.
TT	Annex A (Cut Test)			300-bean subsample, defect scoring – Training needed for consistent cut test interpretation across labs.	Develop illustrated cut test manual; annual inter-lab calibration exercise.	Not accepted. Training is outside the Scope of the standard. However, Annex E, Figure E1, there is an illustrative cut test chart.
SKN	Test Methods (Annexes B–G)		ge	Methods lack validation criteria (e.g., acceptable error margins for moisture content testing). I think this mostly applies to Annex G. Ensure reliability and reproducibility of test results.	Add: All test methods shall be validated per ISO/IEC 17025 requirements, with documented uncertainty margins.	Partially accepted. The methods used are benchmarked against the ISO 2451: 2017. Include a note to G.1 Where sample quantities allow, it is recommended that the determination of moisture content (oven method) be carried out in triplicate for greater accuracy.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
LC	Annex B		Te	Where are these sieves obtained from?	Add where these sieves can be obtained?	Not applicable. The standard does not provide guidance for purchasing; however, images were included of sample sieves in Annex B.
LC	Annex E		Te	No example for the calculation of the defective type is given.	Add example to Annex	Accepted. Include a formula n defect To be included to Annex
GY	Annex E E.1	2	Te	In other parts of the standard, the sampling size is 500g but under annex E, the sample size is 300g. It should be kept at 500g.	“Select 500 whole beans irrespective of size, shape and condition, from the test sample, for the purpose of determining, in addition to the presence of well fermented beans, the incidence of defective or slaty beans, or both, or violet or purple beans or germinated beans or the presence of contamination or all within the test sample.”	Not accepted. The Annex E deals with 300 beans not 300 g. For the method of cut test, the industry standard for cut test is cutting of 300 whole beans.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
LC	Annex G		Te	No list of approved hand-held moisture level instruments is given	Add approved instruments	Not accepted. The standard states that other machines or apparatuses can be used (G1 Note), which allows the users to be flexible. An approved list would be too prescriptive.
TT	G.4.2		ed	“After grinding beans in accordance with quickly place in the dish” – missing reference to what it is in accordance with	“... in accordance with G.4.1...”	Accepted.
TT	G.4.1		ge	Just a general comment – I have noted that this is from F.4.1 from ISO 2451:2017, but it may be useful in future versions to specify exactly what fraction of the sample should be ground in order to obtain a result that is representative. “Grind a fraction” may be vague for some users, and it is followed with “beans used shall be representative of the reference sample”		Accepted. The statement was changed to: 'In order to obtain a test portion of 10 g, grind aliquots of preliminary test
SKN	Annex I (Storage)		ge and te	Annex I focuses on producer-side storage. Importers need guidelines for post-import storage to prevent contamination or moisture absorption. Ensure quality preservation during transit and warehousing.	Add subsection I.3: Imported cocoa beans shall be stored in facilities with humidity ≤70% and monitored for pest activity.	Not accepted. Annex I addresses storage of cocoa beans. It does not limit importers from following the same guidance provided.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	---

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of com- ment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
SKN	Annex I (Storage)		te	Storage guidelines lack specifics for high-humidity climates common in CARICOM. Address regional environmental challenges.	Add: Storage facilities in tropical climates must include dehumidifiers and pest-monitoring systems.	Not accepted. The standard provides guidance on the humidity percentage to be maintained and also states that measures shall be in place to prevent pest infestation. Stating how to achieve such is too prescriptive as it can be done in different ways.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
SKN	Annex J (Disinfestation)		ge	Imported cocoa beans may introduce pests if disinfestation is not verified. Documentation from exporters is critical. Mitigate biosecurity risks and align with phytosanitary regulations.	Add: Imported cocoa beans must be accompanied by a disinfestation certificate issued by the exporting country's national plant protection organization (NPPO).	Not accepted. As indicated in Annex J. 1, the note states that the importing country regulations apply, and one such regulation maybe documentation from the exporting country. Therefore it is already covered. Include the word 'national' to the note. Change made. NOTE 1 Importing countries often have specific national regulations for food safety, inclusive of approved pesticides for use in cocoa.
SKN	Annex J (Disinfestation)		ge	No mention of residue limits for pesticides used in disinfestation, posing food safety risks. Ensure compliance with global food safety norms.	Add: Disinfestation agents must comply with Codex Alimentarius maximum residue limits (MRLs).	Not accepted. As indicated in J.2, this consideration is already addressed as both FAO, WHO and Codex is mentioned.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
LC	Annex J Disinfestation	N/A	Te	Integrated Pest Management has been a global practice agriculture principle which needs to be both promoted and regulated. In this regard, consider including information for guidance.	Consider to include in Annex J or a separate Annex for biological control methods and principles of Integrated Pest Management.	Not accepted. Biological controls and IPM are beyond the scope of this standard. These approaches form part of an IPM framework for which there is no one formal approach documented within the industry to refer to as well. There are guidance to achieve different stages to IPM but no one complete approach.
LC	Annex K		Te	Lead, poor quality jpeg added and therefore couldn't review.	Replace example with a higher resolution jpeg	Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
SKN	Annex K (Test Report Template)		ge	The template lacks fields for corrective actions when non-compliance is detected. Improve accountability and follow-up processes.	Add columns: "Corrective Action Taken" and "Re-Test Results."	Not applicable. It is outside the Scope of the standard as the comment relates to quality management and this standard addresses quality specifications. Additionally, the cut test is a destructive assessment and the beans can not be retested. Furthermore, at this point, no corrective action can be taken for this particular bean lot, however recommendations and investigations can be implemented for processing of the next lot.
LC	Annex	N/A	Te	5. While we are adapting from the ISO, we wish to enhance the Caribbean-customisation by placing a "Fine-Flavour Caribbean Grade" that reflects unique regional attributes like floral, nutty, and fruity notes, and links it with sensory descriptors.	Consider placing an Annex L (Informative) to inform the "Fine-Flavour Caribbean Grade" that reflects unique regional attributes like floral, nutty, and fruity notes, and links it with sensory descriptors.	Partially accepted. The RTSC is in the process of drafting a separate standard for fine flavour cocoa ' CRS 84, Cocoa requirements – Fine flavour. Therefore this would be addressed in that specific standard.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.

MS comments Template

QF003 Reference #: CQ/2025/0220	Document: FDCRS 83: 202x – Cocoa Bean Quality – Specification
--	--

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Figure / Table	Line Number	Type of comment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	RTC observations on each comment submitted
NW	General		ed	Decide whether the term 'less than or equal to' or '≤' is used in both drafts.		It should be used clearly and consistently to ensure unambiguous technical specifications. Words used in the body of the standard, and symbols used in equations or graphical illustrations.
	General		ed	ISO 2451 was already mentioned in the Foreword and therefore it does not need to be mentioned again in the definitions.	Remove the source from all the definitions under Terms and definitions	Not accepted The references show alignment with international standards.
RTS C				Include picture for 3.7 cocoa bean related matter and 3.18 non-cocoa bean related matter Picture to be labelled to illustrate the items in the picture.		Accepted.
RTS C				Include picture for 3.14 foreign matter. Make reference in the standard. Picture to be labelled to illustrate the items in the picture.		Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are compulsory.